

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/792,338	ABRAMS, THOMAS ALGIE	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Aaron M. Guertin	2628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Aaron M. Guertin. (3) Xiao Wu.  
 (2) Adam Hale. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 January 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3, and 5.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,714,650 (Maillard et al.).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and Applicant agree with claim 1 being broad. Claim 5, will be cancelled and amended into claim 1 with the termed "metadata" being more specific. The Applicant and Examiner also discussed claim 3 with respect to Digital Rights Management (DRM) and engaging a frame by frame method with checking user validation to media. Claim 3, was also discussed with respect to cancellation and amending the limitations into claim 1, however no resolution with in this regard had been proposed .